UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,685	06/23/2005	Kamel Ramdani	1022702-000192	5010
	7590 01/27/200 INGERSOLL & ROOI	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404	NWAONICHA, CHUKWUMA O		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1621	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/27/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/518,685	RAMDANI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	CHUKWUMA O. NWAONICHA	1621		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 J</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the practice under the practice.	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 20-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 20-29 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or application Papers	own from consideration.  Description requirement.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed as a composition and a composition to the specific process. Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct should be contacted as a composition of the specific process. The specific process is a composition of the specific process. The specific process is a composition of the specific process. The specific process is a composition of the specific process. The specific process is a composition of the specific process. The specific process is a composition of the specific process. The specific process is a composition of the specific process. The specific process is a composition of the specific process. The specific process is a composition of the specific process. The specific process is a composition of the specific process. The specific process is a composition of the specific process. The specific process is a composition of the specific process in the specific process. The specific process is a composition of the specific process. The specific process is a composition of the specific process in the specific process. The specific process is a composition of the specific process in the specific process. The specific process is a composition of the specific process in the specific process in the specific process is a composition of the specific process. The specific process is a composition of the specific process in the specific process is a composition of the specific process in the specific process in the specific process is a composition of the specific process in the specific process in the specific process is a composition of the specific process in the specific process in the specific process in the specific process in the specific process is a composition of the specific process in the specific proce	cepted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/518,685 Page 2

Art Unit: 1621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Current Status**

1. Claims 20-29 are pending.

#### Request for Continued Examination

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5 June 2008 has been entered.

#### **Priority**

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d).

#### Claim Objection

Claim 20 is objected because of the claim recites "(Emphasis added)". This phrase should be deleted.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having

Application/Control Number: 10/518,685 Page 3

Art Unit: 1621

ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 20-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Urbaniak et al., {Synthesis and Reactivity in Inorganic and Metal-Organic Chemistry, Synthesis of Siloxyphosphines, 1988, 18 (7), 695-703}.

Applicants claim a continuous process for preparing an organodialkylalkoxysilane by continuously contacting an alcohol with a silane; wherein all the variables are as defined in the claims.

## Determination of the scope and content of the prior art (M.P.E.P. §2141.01)

Urbaniak et al. teach a process for preparing an organodialkylalkoxysilane by phosphonation of the corresponding ethoxysimethyl(omega- halogenoalkyl)silanes followed by their hydrolysis. See page 698, experimental.

Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (M.P.E.P.. §2141.02)

Urbaniak et al. process of preparation of organodialkylalkoxysilane by reacting silane compound with an alcohol differs from the instantly claimed process in that Urbaniak et al. process is not a continuous process while applicants claim a process that is continuous.

# <u>Finding of prima facie obviousness--rational and motivation (M.P.E.P.. §2142-2143)</u>

The instantly claimed process of preparation of organodialkylalkoxysilane by reacting silane compound with an alcohol would have been suggested to one of ordinary skill in view of the teaching of Urbaniak et al.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have a reasonable expectation of success in practicing the instant invention by varying the process conditions (batch or continuous process, concentration of the catalyst, reactants, temperature and pressure) from the teaching of Urbaniak et al. to arrive at the instantly claimed method for making organodialkylalkoxysilane by reacting silane compound with an alcohol. Said person would have been motivated to practice the teaching of the reference cited because it demonstrate that organodialkylalkoxysilane are useful industrial raw materials. The Examiner notes that variation the reaction conditions, for example, batch or continuous process, countercurrent or stripping of the acid in a chemical reaction is a well-known chemical technique to optimize the process efficiency of the system and does not constitute a patentable distinction **absent** a showing of criticality. In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 105 U. S. P. Q. 233 (C. C. P. A. 1955). Therefore, the instantly claimed invention would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,685 Page 5

Art Unit: 1621

to 5:00pm.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chukwuma O. Nwaonicha whose telephone number is 571-272-2908. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:30am

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Sullivan can be reached on 571-272-0779. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Chukwuma O. Nwaonicha/ Examiner, Art Unit 1621

/Jafar Parsa/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621 Application/Control Number: 10/518,685

Page 6

Art Unit: 1621